



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/486,116		02/18/2000	HIROSHI MIYAZAWA	0670-225	1535	
31780	7590	06/01/2005		EXAMINER		
ERIC RO		ON	PATEL, GAUTAM			
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POTOMA	AC FAL	LS, VA 20165	2655			
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	ication No. Applicant(s)					
		09/486,116	MIYAZAWA E	MIYAZAWA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Gautam R. Patel	2655					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondenc	e address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION mail on soft ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, howevent. a reply within the statutory mining a reply will apply and will expire Section to a section to the section to the section.	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 March 2005.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-fina	l.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-9,16 and 18-32</u> is/are pending	n the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			,				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9,16,18-23 and 27-32</u> is/are rej	ected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
- 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requiren	nent.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	а).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or forn	1 PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		1					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 (J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been recei	ved.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu 		•	nal Stage				
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified cop	oies not received.					
Attach	Wa)							
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4 \□ 1.	nterview Summary (PTO-413)	·				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)P	aper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-<i>20</i>-03</u> .	3/08) 5) ∐ N 6)	lotice of Informal Patent Application Other:	(PTO-152)				
		·						

Application/Control Number: 09/486,116

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-9, 16 and 18-32 are pending for the examination.

RCE STATUS

2. The request filed on 3-21-05 for Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-9, 16, 18-23 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujisawa, US. Patent 5,497,366 (hereafter <u>Fujisawa</u>) in view of Crane, US. patent 5,023,845 (hereafter <u>Crane</u>) and Noda, EPO. publication 0316959 A2 (hereafter <u>Noda</u>).

As to claim 1, Fujisawa discloses the invention as claimed [see Figs. 7-21B, especially 7-11 and 14], including an optical pickup, which has objective spot forming means, a plurality of photodetectors, a chassis, and various support means comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/486,116

Art Unit: 2655

(a) objective spot forming means (fig. 7, unit 51) for forming each spot of a plurality of light beams entered via a collimator (fig. 7 and 11, unit 83), on each track of a recording medium (fig. 14, unit 1) [col. 8, lines 47-57; col. 13, lines 12-18; and col. 15, lines 50-66];

- (b) a series of adjacent photodetectors (figs. 7, 11 and 15, units 98 and 99) each provided for each spot for receiving reflected light of each spot, the reflected light having passed through said objective spot forming means, said collimator, and focus adjusting means in this order [col. 15, line 17 to col. 16, line 2]; and
- (c) a chassis (fig. 8, unit 57) mounted with said collimator [col. 13, lines 12-39], wherein said focus adjusting means and said series of adjacent photodetectors are supported respectively by a focus adjusting means support member (fig. 7, unit 63) and a photodetector support member (fig. 7, unit 79 & 100) formed separately from said chassis (unit 57), and the focus adjusting means support member and the photodetector support member are moveable along a direction of an optical axis [see ABSTRACT; col. 19, lines 20-29, & lines 52-55 and col. 21, lines 37-42] are fixed to said chassis at positions along an optical axis [col. 15, lines 8-49; col. 9, lines 39-67].

Fujisawa teaches all of the above elements including plural photodetectors and adjustment of the spacing between photodetectors and lens as disclosed above.

Fujisawa does not specifically disclose well known details of the arrangement of these photodetectors, such as that they are a series of adjacent photodetectors, or a device for visual confirmation (CCD).

However Crane clearly discloses plural adjacent photodetectors and a device for visual confirmation (CCD) [col. 5, lines 17-34 & col. 6, line 55 to col. 7, line 12].

Both Fujisawa and Crane are interested in providing smooth signals from the photodetectors and combine proper signal that are reflected back from the photodetectors to control the tracking and focusing with minimum parts. Both shows multiple detectors, abeam splitter a focusing lens a laser light source and a data processing operation.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have realized that multiple beam paths are subject to dynamic bending displacement and correction is needed, also optimization of

energy dissipation or elimination of residual displacement is a good characteristic to have in the system of Fujisawa.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide the system of Fujisawa with plural adjacent photodetectors and a device for visual confirmation (CCD) and associated details as taught by Crane. The application or use of the series of photodetectors as taught by Crane would have been obvious, because the series of photodetectors performs the same function in the same way as the series of photodetectors means of Fujisawa's system, and is an equivalent element. Also one would be motivated to eliminate residual displacement by the use of the CCD monitoring device [col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 5; Crane].

combination of Fujisawa and Crane discloses all of the above elements including series of plural photodetectors [e.g. units 98 and 99], the combination does not specifically disclose more than two photodetectors to the extent claimed.

However Noda clearly discloses plurality of adjacent photodetectors lining up in a single file [fig. 6-7 and col. 4, line 44 to col. 5, line 25].

All Fujisawa, Crane and Noda are interested in providing smooth signals from the photodetectors and combine proper signal that are reflected back from the photodetectors to control the tracking and focusing with minimum parts. All shows multiple detectors, a beam splitter a focusing lens a laser light source and a data processing operation.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have realized that multiple track can be speeded up by providing multiple photodetectors and they can be read simultaneously to provide more processing speed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide the system of Fujisawa & Crane with plural [more than two] adjacent photodetectors lines up in a single file as taught by Noda. The application or use of three or more series of photo-detectors as taught by Noda would have been obvious, because more than two series of photo-detectors will increase the reproducing speed of information up to four times as compared to single information track reading [col. 4, lines 44-54; Noda].

Application/Control Number: 09/486,116 Page 5

Art Unit: 2655

4. As to claim 2, Fujisawa discloses:

the plurality of light beams are generated by making light from a light source (fig. 14, unit 80) pass through a diffraction grating (fig. 7, unit 81) [col. 13, lines 12-52].

5. As to claim 3, Crane discloses:

at least one of said plurality of photodetectors includes a plurality of light reception areas for divisionally receiving one light beam [col. 5, lines 17-34 & col. 6, lines 8-38].

6. As to claim 4, Fujisawa discloses:

an optical axis of the reflected light passing through the collimator is changed to an optical axis toward said focus adjusting means by a beam splitter [fig. 10, unit 82] upon which the reflected light passed through the collimator becomes incident [col. 13, lines 12-23.

7. As to claim 5, Crane discloses:

The reflected light incident upon each photodetector is visually confirmed by detecting means (CCD) [col. 6, line 55 to col. 7, line 12].

8. As to claim 6, Crane discloses:

said detecting means is disposed on an opposite side of the beam splitter relative to said focus adjusting means [Fig. 1 and col. 5, line 64 to col. 6, line 38].

9. As to claim 7, Fujisawa discloses:

said focus adjusting means is made movable between the beam splitter and said photodetectors [col. 12, lines 4-47].

10. As to claim 8, Fujisawa discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/486,116

Art Unit: 2655

focus adjusting means is moved by being slid on said chassis [col. 12, lines 4-65].

Page 6

- 11. As to claim 9, Fujisawa discloses all of the above elements including deposition of photodetectors [98 and 99] and focus adjusting means and that they are away from each other by an offset. Fujisawa does not specifically teach that this device placement is related by the well known equation of (y = ax + b) where a and b are constants). AOfficial Notice is taken that both the concept and the advantages of placing device with y = ax + b formula are well known and expected in the art when offset between two part is involved. It would have been obvious to have placed these two parts which follows the equation y = ax + b, because it would provided guideline for the placement of the parts while being formed in the system and thereby saving time and money on wrong alignment and placement. These concepts are well known in the art and do not constitute a patentably distinct limitation, per se [M.P.E.P. 2144.03].
- 12. As to claim 16 it is rejected for the similar reasons as set forth in rejection of claim 1, above. As to the added limitation, Fujisawa discloses:
- (d) means for adjusting a distance between said focus adjusting means and said photodetectors, wherein said adjusting means includes a first member for supporting said focus adjusting means and a second member for supporting said photodetectors, the first member and the second member being moveable along a direction of an optical axis [see ABSTRACT; col. 19, lines 20-29, & lines 52-55 and col. 21, lines 37-42] and fixed to said chassis and the distance is adjusted by moving the first member along said second member [col. 9, lines 39-67 & col. 13, lines 12-63].

13. As to claim 18, Fujisawa discloses:

(a) a light reflection optical element (fig. 14, unit 14) for reflecting a plurality of light beams incoming along a direction of a first axial line, toward a direction of a second axial line different from the first axial line, said light reflection optical element being

attached rotatably around each of the first and second axial lines [col. 15, lines 17-37 and col. 15, line 50 to col. 16, line 19];

- (b) spot forming means (fig. 14, unit 51) for forming a spot of each light beam incoming along the direction of the second axial line from said light reflection optical element, on each track of a recording medium [fig. 14, unit 1] [[col. 15, lines 17-37 and col. 15, line 50 to col. 16, line 19];
- (c) support means (unit 79) for rotatably supporting said light reflection optical element about at least one rotation axial line on a chassis, the rotation axial line passing a reference point (138) which is a cross point between the first and second axial lines [col. 15, lines 12-49];
- (d) fixing means (inherently present) for fixing said light reflection optical element to the chassis, whose rotational position has been adjusted so that the focus states of said plurality of spots are substantially equal [col. 13, line 24 to col. 14, line 17]; and
- (e) reflected light detecting means (units 98 and 99) for detecting reflected light of each spot passed through said spot forming means [col. 15, lines 12-37].

Fujisawa teaches all of the above elements including plural photodetectors and adjustment of the spacing between photodetectors and lens as disclosed above.

Fujisawa does not specifically disclose well known details of the arrangement of these photodetectors, such as that they are a series of adjacent photodetectors, or a device for visual confirmation (CCD).

However Crane clearly discloses plural adjacent photodetectors and a device for visual confirmation (CCD) [col. 5, lines 17-34 & col. 6, line 55 to col. 7, line 12].

Both Fujisawa and Crane are interested in providing smooth signals from the photodetectors and combine proper signal that are reflected back from the photodetectors to control the tracking and focusing with minimum parts. Both shows multiple detectors, abeam splitter a focusing lens a laser light source and a data processing operation.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have realized that multiple beam paths are subject to dynamic bending displacement and correction is needed, also optimization of

Application/Control Number: 09/486,116 Page 8

Art Unit: 2655

energy dissipation or elimination of residual displacement is a good characteristic to have in the system of Fujisawa.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide the system of Fujisawa with plural adjacent photodetectors and a device for visual confirmation (CCD) and associated details as taught by Crane. The application or use of the series of photodetectors as taught by Crane would have been obvious, because the series of photodetectors performs the same function in the same way as the series of photodetectors means of Fujisawa's system, and is an equivalent element. Also one would be motivated to eliminate residual displacement by the use of the CCD monitoring device [col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 5; Crane].

- 14. As to claim 19, Fujisawa discloses:
- 19. the rotation axial line includes a rotation axial line perpendicular to both the first axial line and the second axial line [col. 16, lines 2-61].
- 15. As to claim 20, Fujisawa discloses:

the rotation axial line includes a rotation axial line coincident with the first axial line [col. 16, lines 2-61].

16. As to claim 21, Fujisawa discloses:

the rotation axial line includes a rotation axial line coincident with the second axial line [col. 16, lines 2-61].

17. As to claim 22, Fujisawa discloses:

said support means includes a spherical fitting portion [col. 13, line 64 to col. 14, line 47].

18. As to claim 23, Fujisawa discloses:

light reflection optical element is a triangular prism [col. 14, line 48 to col. 15, line 7]. NOTE: Fujisawa does not use word triangular prism but picture and action of unit are exactly as that of a triangular prism.

- 19. As to claim 27, Fujisawa discloses various shapes of support means including concave and convex shapes. Fujisawa does not teach that this particular part has concave and convex spherical portion that can be fitted together. AOfficial Notice≅ is taken that both the concept and the advantages of providing concave and convex parts and their fitting are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to include these kind of parts, as these parts are known to fit better with each other because of their mutually fitting shape. These concepts are well known in the art and do not constitute a patentably distinct limitation, per se [M.P.E.P. 2144.03].
- 20. As to claims 28-29 and 31-32. Fujisawa discloses various shapes of support means including various screws bolts washers and related accessories. Fujisawa does not specifically teach in detail where each and every screw goes to the extent claimed. AOfficial Notice≅ is taken that both the concept and the advantages of providing different screws, bolts and washer in different places for proper attachment of different parts. It would have been obvious to include these kind of parts, and its arrangement in the system of Fujisawa because without proper fixing of parts system will fall apart and will not work. These concepts are well known in the art and do not constitute a patentably distinct limitation, per se [M.P.E.P. 2144.03].

Also arranging parts in different order is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have arranged parts with different connection of bolts and washers. As shown in "In re Japikse 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950)" that to shift location of parts as such is generally not given patentable weight or would have been obvious improvements. Also using different screws, washers etc. does not change the operation of the optical head at all.

21. As to claim 30, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 18, <u>supra</u>.

22. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 16, 18-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Contact Information

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2650) where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wayne Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Electronic Business Center whose telephone number is 866-217-9197 or the USPTO contact Center telephone number is (800) PTO-9199.

GAUTAM R. PATEL

Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2655

May 30, 2005